

March 17, 1983

LB 59

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Senator Beutler.

CLERK: This was the.....

SENATOR BEUTLER: I have forgotten my motion, so it must not be all that important. I will withdraw it.

CLERK: I don't think you want it, Senator.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: Senator Beutler's motion is withdrawn. Do we have anything else up?

CLERK: Mr. President, I now have an amendment offered by Senators Vard Johnson, Kilgarin, Cullan, DeCamp and Newell, found on page 923 of the Journal.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: I would withdraw my amendment. Will my cosponsors do the same? The same amendment is so withdrawn. What do we now have up?

CLERK: I now have....

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: We now have which?

CLERK: I now have a motion from Senator DeCamp to indefinitely postpone the bill, Mr. President. That will lay it over unless the introducer wishes to take it up at this time.

SENATOR V. JOHNSON: The introducer of this bill is Senator Warner. What is your pleasure, Senator Warner?

SENATOR WARNER: Well, Mr. President, what I wanted to suggest earlier on was to just pass over the bill and, well, let me explain why I think the...you know, the Governor has indicated that he would like to wait until the end of the session. I think the majority of the Legislature, well, as a practical matter the purpose for which the bill was introduced which was to have the rate changed earlier in order to have the withholding rate effective sooner, both to avoid the cash flow problems that we are now incurring or assist in that, and, secondly, not to require such a high withholding rate for the last half of the year, neither of those goals obviously can now be accomplished, and now I would like to have the bill passed over for some period of time. It is in a nice position on the board. There are a number of worthy purposes that the number could be used for having nothing to do with rate setting, and what I specifically have in mind is that there are a couple, three bills in Appropriations Committee that will have a direct